







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/475,093	12/30/1999	Matthew D. Halfant	19223-000200	3180	
7:	590 08/12/2002				
Thomas D. Franklin Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP Two Embarcadero Center 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3834		LP )	EXAMINER		
			HUYNH, CONG LAC T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sy

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	09/475,093	HALFANT, MATTHEW D.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Cong-Lac Huynh	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of № period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	), which is after the expiration of the	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-	
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:		the statutory period of three months	
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.		
<ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ul>	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of	
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
.   The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of	
. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation	entative capacity under 37 CFR	
.   The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review	
.  The reason(s) below:			
The representative of record of this case is no longe contact the applicant.	***	EPHEN S. HONG	
	PAI	MARY EXAMINER	

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 6